

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/715,146	11/17/2003	Shin Kamei	14321.59	1904	
22913	7590 06/14/2	005	EXAM	EXAMINER	
	N NYDEGGER	WONG,	WONG, ERIC K		
(F/K/A WORKMAN NYDEGGER & SEELEY) 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER			2883		
SALT LAKI	ECITY, UT 84111	DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Av				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/715,146	KAMEI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Eric Wong	2883					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply	/ IC CET TO EVDIDE A	MONTH(S) EDOM					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, mawithin the statutory minimum of ill apply and will expire SIX (6) No cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timel MONTHS from the mailing date of this c e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to c ommunication(s) filed on <u>17 No</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 November 2003.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.						
• • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 (D.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•					
4) Claim(s) 1-194 is/are pending in the application	ı. [']						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-194 are subject to restriction and/or 	alaction requirement						
o)⊠ Claim(s) 1-194 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers		•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 		⊃. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies (10t received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice	of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) L Other:	·					

Application/Control Number: 10/715,146

Art Unit: 2883

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- a. Species 1: figures 23a-24c;
- b. Species 2: figures 25a-25b
- c. Species 3: figures 26a-26b
- d. Species 4: figures 27a-28b
- e. Species 5: figures 29a-29b
- f. Species 6: figures 30a-30b
- g. Species 7: figure 31
- h. Species 8: Figure 32
- i. Species 9: figure 33
- j. Species 10: figure 34
- k. Species 11: figure 35
- 1. Species 12: figure 36
- m. Species 13: figure 37
- n. Species 14: figure 38
- o. Species 15: figures 39-40
- p. Species 16: figure 41a-41b
- q. Species 17: figures 42-43
- r. Species 18: figure 44-45b

s. Species 19: figures 46a-46b

t. Species 20: figures 47a-47b

u. Species 21: figure 48

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Art Unit: 2883

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-2363. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 830AM - 430PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on 571-272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EW

Frank G. Font Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

Frank & Font